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Abstract
This paper sheds light on a potential risk of trade-off between access to primary education and the quality of education in underserved areas in the case of Yemen, which still faces challenges in access, equity, and quality in spite of its rapid improvement over the past decade. It will first examine the level of learning achievement in relation to an improvement of enrollments and gender parity in rural schools where girls were more disadvantaged in 2004, when Yemen started the national basic education strategy toward 2015. It will also examine the level of learning achievement in relation to the other supply-side variables, of which quality and quantity are often affected by expansion of access. The data used here are the student- and school-level data collected by the JICA Research Institute at rural schools in Yemen in 2011 and the data from the TIMSS in 2011. The analyses point to a trade-off between improved access and quality of learning. The results indicated that the school-level increase of gender parity in enrollment and the total enrollment growth of boys and girls alike over the past three years are negatively associated with the current students’ math test scores. The estimation results of trade-offs are valid while controlling for basic students and family characteristics, such as parental education and occupation. As anticipated from previous education production function analyses, some policy variables, such as teacher availability and existence of participatory school management committees, change across schools, and they are important for explaining the learning differences in the relatively underserved rural areas of Yemen. These findings underscore the need of revisiting such policy measures in further increasing access while ensuring the quality standards for disadvantaged areas and avoiding widening of the quality difference within the country and ensuring learning for all.
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1. Introduction

Universal primary education (UPE) is one goal of the global agenda for which many developing countries have made remarkable progress with the support of international partners committed to the Millennium Development Goals and Education for All Goals. The net enrollment ratio in primary education has, on average, increased to 88% in 2011, from 80% in 1999, in low- and middle-income countries. The gender parity in primary enrollment has also increased in these countries to 98% in 2011, from 92% in 1999. Despite the great progress made, challenges still remain to reach more than 600 million out-of-school children, of which more than half are girls. Looking by country, there are more than 50 countries in which around 2011 the primary education net enrollment rates are below 90%, and rural areas are often more underserved than urban areas.

While acknowledging this remaining challenge for access in education, the international community is increasingly turning its attention to low learning achievement (e.g., United Nations 2013). More information is available to alert us to children in developing countries having a critically low learning achievement. For example, as Table 1 indicates, even though these countries have increased access in primary education, a high number of students cannot read a single word in the first line of the oral test called “Early Grade Reading Assessment (EGRA)” by the end of grade 2.

If countries have almost achieved the UPE, quality objectives can be the first priority of their education-sector plans and their donors’ assistance. On the other hand, for a country that is still far from the UPE, how to find the balance between access and quality objectives under resource constraints for a given period can be an important practical policy question. An analysis of the recent education sector plans across all countries that have received support from the Global Partnerships for Education (GPE) found that “the principle, presumably, is to

1 World Bank online data accessed in July 2013.
achieve the access goals in education before achieving the quality goals. Few plans acknowledge that improvements in the quality of education can be expected to have a positive influence on enrollment (GPE, 2012: 97).” UNESCO (2012: 124-5) says “there is no inevitable trade-off between quantity and quality of education.” In other words, without appropriate efforts, a trade-off can happen.

Thus an empirical question is to find whether and to what extent a rapid increase of enrollment and improvement in equity by gender and between urban-rural areas are related to low-learning outcomes. The answer can depend on various supply-side and demand-side factors specific to countries and areas. It should also be important to assess what policy factors are related to better learning outcomes and how these factors differ within a country.

This paper treats Yemen as a case country that aims to increase access and improve quality for all. It uses school data and student mathematics test scores from an original survey we conducted exclusively in underserved rural schools in 2011 as well as a nationwide sample survey. We aim to examine whether such a trade-off has happened and, if it has, what measures can mitigate its negative effects.

2. Literature review

2.1. Trade-off or complementary

From past experience, we find that some studies support positive relations between increasing access and better learning outcomes. UNESCO (2005) states that in the long term, the relation between increasing access and improving quality of education becomes complementary rather than being substitutive. The high quality of schools impacts student choices on attending school (Hanushek 1995). Schools are supposed to enhance quality to provide an appropriate learning environment to increase the participation of underserved children, namely, girls (Stromquist 1997, Kane 2004, and USAID 2008). UNESCO (2012) also shows no inevitable
trade-off between quantity and quality of education; increasing enrollment does not necessarily lead to lower learning achievement.

On the other hand, UNESCO (2005) acknowledges that “low enrollment countries may experience dynamic trade-offs between expanding coverage and improving quality.” Quality of education may have been lowered by steep increases in access to basic education in developing countries such as Mozambique, Uganda, Cambodia, and Togo (e.g., UNESCO 2011, Hungi et al, 2010, and Brossard and Amelewonou 2005).

The notion of a trade-off can stem from the budget constraints that are faced by developing countries. In a study of secondary education in Africa, Verspoor (2008) addresses the trade-off as a result of a mathematical relationship of quantity over quality education, meaning that the number of students shares the financial constraints of other sectors and within the education sector. It states that “the basic mathematical relationship is clear for a given budget, the more pupils enrolled, the less it is possible to spend per pupil; conversely, the higher the level of spending per student, the fewer the number of students who can be enrolled (p.71).” Brossard and Amelewonou (2005) also show that a great deal of room is available for such trade-offs between quantity and quality. Yet with the example of Chad, they indicate that a high amount of spending toward students does not necessarily lead to a quality outcome in terms of a higher rate of success in the national exam for the diploma of secondary schools. Their capacity in the effective use of resources could be more essential than the amount of spending per student.

2.2. Teachers and teaching

School-level differences in recurrent budget amounts can be largely related to the allocation of teachers and their ability and experience. On the student-to-teacher ratio or class size, evidence tends to support a negative association with student scores in developing countries. Many studies have found that a large class for primary school mathematics has
greater adverse effects on student achievement than for other subjects (Breton 2014). Duraisamy et al. (1998) studied the changes in the pass rates of grade 10 students’ statewide public examination and the pupil-teacher ratios across regions in Tamil Nadu of India from 1977 to 1992 and found that a rapid increase of pupil-teacher ratios are negatively associated with student pass rates. Yemen is among the seven countries that have increased their pupil-teacher ratio by more than 5 pupils per teacher in the past decade (UNESCO 2012). Using TIMSS 2007, Al-Mekhlafy (2009) shows that Yemeni grade 4 students’ scores are on average higher in smaller classes than in larger classes both in rural and urban schools.

Regarding teachers’ education and training, the results are mixed. According to Hanushek (1995, 230), about 55% (35 out of 63) of the studies on the effect of teacher educational levels on students’ learning achievements indicate that significant positive associations are found between the two in developing countries. About 40% (16 of 46) of the studies on relationships between teacher experiences and student achievements indicate the same result. A study of TIMSS 1995-2007 for Colombia found that teachers’ in-service training wasn’t associated with students’ learning achievements at the grade-8 level (World Bank 2010). Fehrler et al. (2009) studied the SACMEQ and PASEC learning achievements of grade-6 students in Sub-Saharan Africa and found that teachers’ education level has a weak association with learning achievement. The GTZ assistance for the pilot program in Yemen supports the point that in-service training for teachers contributes to improving students’ learning achievements because their students seemingly perform better than other students in the TIMSS 2011 grade-4 mathematics test (General Education Improvement Program 2012).

2.3. Learning resources and environments

As expected in the context of developing countries, the availability of basic education resources such as textbooks and notebooks varies across schools and students and could affect students’ learning achievements. Fuller and Clarke (1994) reviewed the 26 empirical studies
for primary schools and 13 studies for secondary schools in developing countries and found that most show the significant effect of textbooks in explaining the student’s learning achievement. Hungi and Thuku (2010a) is another study supporting this claim for Kenya.

With respect to school facilities, Ogawa et al. (2012) show that such school facilities as toilets have a positively significant relationship with student learning achievements, using the data from SACMEQ (Southern and Eastern Africa Consortium for Monitoring Educational Quality) at the primary education level in Kenya, Malawi, and Uganda. The study of SACMEQ by Lee and Zuze (2011) also showed such relationships for Botswana, Namibia, Malawi, and Uganda.

2.4. Community participation, school autonomy and accountability

Community participation is often promoted to increase both education access and quality of learning. Bruns et al. (2011) reviewed previous studies on school-based management (SBM) in some Latin American and Asian countries and in one African country, and they point out that several studies showed SBM leading to a reduction in repetition rates and, to a smaller degree, dropout rates. On the other hand, the impact of SBM on test scores is mixed, possibly because of the time lag between intervention and result. Outcomes such as dropout rates and test scores usually necessitate a longer time to be improved than the participation and attendance rates. Bruns et al. (2011) also argue that certain conditions, such as the clarity of parents’ roles, and the combination of other incentives, such as reduced class size, are needed for SBM to have positive effects on test scores.

For Yemen, the pilot program of participatory school-based management with a school grant scheme was found effective in increasing the access, especially for girls (e.g., Yuki et al. 2013). The existence of fathers’ and mothers’ councils also seems to work as a deterrent of teacher absenteeism. Schools with these councils have 5% lower teacher absenteeism rates on
average (World Bank 2006, 34). Few studies, however, discuss the effect of the SBM on student learning outcomes.

2.5. Demand side characteristics

Existing studies suggest strong associations between student achievements and family characteristics (e.g., Baker et al. 2002). As an example, the association of students’ learning achievement and mothers’ schooling is positively significant (Urquiola and Verhoogen 2009). By contrast, Dincer and Uysal (2010) found that it is the fathers’ education that closely relates with students’ learning achievement. By either way, the association of parental education with student learning achievements is positively significant (Dang et al. 2011; Ogawa et al. 2012). Adult literacy is also discussed as a key determinant to student learning outcomes, especially in communities where school-based management is implemented (Blimpo and Evans 2011).

The wealth-based gaps in children’s cognitive development grow over time (Paxson and Schady 2005). Household income is positively associated with student learning achievements (Muller 1998; Urquiola and Verhoogen 2009). Household assets, including the availability of some household goods such as televisions and electricity, are used as a proxy of the household income level in some studies (Dang et al. 2011). These works demonstrate strong significant associations between household assets and student learning achievements. Other studies (e.g., Hungi and Thuku 2010b; Woessmann 2011) found significant and positive associations of students learning achievements with the availability of books at home. Regarding parental occupation, which may be directly related with household income, the employment status of either the mother or father is significantly and positively associated with student scores in Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) (Dincer and Uysal, 2010).
3. Education in Yemen

3.1. Country context: remaining challenges in basic education in Yemen

Access and gender equity in access have been priority goals of the Yemeni government’s basic education sector plan, named “National Basic Education Development Strategy 2003-2015” (Ministry of Education 2002). In fact, Yemen has made remarkable progress, improving the net enrollment rate (NER) in primary education to 76% in 2011, from 56% in 1999, and gender parity index to 0.84 in 2011, from 0.58 in 1999. To increase girls’ participation in school, several gender-sensitive measures have been taken, including the hiring of female teachers who are less qualified than the official requirements of university degrees, the construction of school toilets, the promotion of parental and community awareness and involvement, and the elimination of participation fees for girls from first to sixth grade (Yuki et al. 2013).

However, much effort is still required to achieve the international target of 100% net enrollment and the national compulsory basic education policy, especially for girls and rural children. More than one million children are still not in school (Al-Seyani and Matsui 2013). Yemen’s female enrollment rate is below even that of many other low-income countries. The primary school net attendance rate for girls in 2006 is estimated at 53% in rural areas and 80% in urban areas (Ministry of Health and Population and UNICEF 2008, 100).

With the exceptions of some donor-assisted pilot schools, these underserved rural areas have not systematically received more resources per student or per school to ensure more quality of learning inputs than in other places. To reduce the cost of schooling for households and to stimulate the demand for education, the yearly community participation fees for students have been eliminated for all students in grades 1 to 3 and also for girls in grades 4 to 6.

2 World Bank online data accessed in July 2013.

3 Compulsory education is defined as eight to nine years of education in most Arab countries, including Yemen. For Yemen, after basic schools, the system separates students into secondary schools and post-basic vocational training centers. The system then tracks students into universities (degree or diploma courses), community colleges (two years), or postsecondary vocational training centers.
(Ministry of Education 2012). Just as fee abolition has often been associated with the school grants scheme in African countries (Fredriksen 2007), Yemen approved a Cabinet decree on school operation budgets (school grants) in 2008, though until now it has been neither enacted nor budgeted by the Ministry of Finance.

For the country as a whole, during the past decade there is no evidence that the amount of resources devoted to basic education has increased. As Table 2 shows, although Yemen spends an amount on education comparable to other countries in terms of total public education, expenditure (5% of GDP in 2010) and expenditure per pupil in primary education (18% of GDP per capita in 2011), there is a decline in total education expenditures when compared with the early 2000s (9% of GDP). Given the resource constraints because of the tuition-free policy and the low share of private primary schools, effective public finance management has been key to improving quality access and learning achievement for all. However, overall public-sector governance performance is considered weak for Yemen as well as for other MENA countries (World Bank 2003; World Bank and Republic of Yemen 2010).

As for the quality and equity in learning achievements, results are not promising when Yemeni students are compared with the national average of other countries or when rural areas are compared with urban areas within Yemen. For example, among the EGRA-assessed Yemeni students in 40 schools in three governorates, the proportion of students who were unable to read a single word (i.e., scoring zero in oral-reading fluency) were found to be 42% for grade 2 and 27% for grade 3 (Collins and Messaoud-Galusi 2012, 2). Among students who could read at least one word, their rate of reading words of text per minute is less than one quarter of the recommended rate for adequate comprehension. The assessment recommended that the provision of reading materials, parental involvement in schooling, and pre- and in-service teacher training on basic components of reading be improved.

---

4 The private share is slightly high at the tertiary level (20.1%), but it was only 4% at the primary level in 2010 (World Bank online data, accessed in December 2012). The private share is known to be much lower for rural areas and urban areas. According to the 2009/10 MOE’s annual education survey data, the private share is about 0.2% in total of basic and secondary schools in rural areas.
The Trends in Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS), a large-scale international educational assessment, indicates that Yemeni achievement was the lowest among participating countries in the grade 4 assessment, while the country’s economic level was also lowest among 52 participating countries in 2011 (Mullis et al. 2012). Because the previous TIMSS experience indicated that literacy was among the factors of lower achievement, Yemen also assessed its grade 6 students with the grade 4 exam in 2011. As for the results, grade 6 students performed better than the grade 4 students (Mullis et al. 2012), but their achievement is still lower than most grade 4 students of other countries (Fig. 1a).

Within Yemen, the schools in small towns or rural areas have a lower average achievement than in urban schools. A comparison of the Yemeni TIMSS results with low- and lower middle-income countries’ results of other assessment, such as PASEC and SACMEC, suggests that the Yemeni score is not high among countries of similar economic level (Fig. 1b).

In the recent Yemeni basic education sector medium-term plan (MTRF 2013-2015), the quality objective is regarded as important as access and equity in access objectives (Ministry of Education 2013). To minimize any risk of trade-off between access expansion and quality, especially for currently underserved areas, it will be important to analyze the country’s past experience.

3.2. Research questions

For Yemen, this paper explores two questions. The first is whether a rapid increase of gender parity, often accompanied by total enrollment growth, is related to low quality of learning outcome for students in their initially underserved areas. We hypothesize that when a school in an underserved area has been rapidly increasing the gender parity and/or the total enrollments, students are quite likely to achieve a lower level of learning than students in other schools. However, these potential trade-offs could be overcome if the school, together with the student’s family and community, succeeds in ensuring the quality of learning environments and inputs.
Such effort might not be easy in the underserved areas of Yemen, given the limited public and private resources, and thus certain schools might have no choice but to enroll more students even in conditions that are below par.

Our second research question is concerned with the differences in learning environments. The paper will explore which factors determine the different degrees of policy implementation among schools and contribute to making a difference in learning outcome among students, especially in underserved areas. Yemen’s current sector plan includes reforming the curriculum and textbook contents and introducing new national standard assessment systems (exam) to achieve quality objectives. Such centrally initiated policy reforms, however, may work less for disadvantaged schools, where learning environments tend to be worse because of lower family welfare and educational levels.

4. Data and model

4.1. Data sources

This paper uses two types of data sets as summarized in Table 3. The first is from the female student learning-achievement survey that the JICA Research Institute and ERDC conducted in underserved rural areas of the Dhamar governorate in March-April 2011. In the Dhamar governorate, the Gender Parity Index (GPI) sharply increased to nearly 0.7 in 2009, from 0.54 in 2004, but the GPI was still low (see Fig. 2). The net enrollment ratio of girl students (55%) was also low in Yemen (Ministry of Education, 2013: 82). A total of 40 sample schools were randomly chosen from two groups of public schools. Both were initially underserved girls, but one group improved the gender parity more rapidly than the other. Utilizing the trends of school-level data from the MOE’s annual education survey in 2004 and 2007, the initially underserving schools were defined as those whose GPIs were below the governorate average in 2004, which was the first year of the Yemeni basic education strategy. The rapidity of
improvement is defined as the increase rate from 2004 to 2007, also compared with the governorate average.

For each sample school, a maximum of 40 students of grades 5 and 6 were randomly selected, using the list of all student names and gender information submitted by school directors as of January 2011. The students were then tested by the TIMSS 2007-released items of mathematics for grade 4.\(^5\) The survey also asked the students about their family, house conditions, and perception of learning and gender in addition to questions on the standard TIMSS questionnaire. Moreover, it asked the school director and teachers (maximum of five teachers sampled per school) about their backgrounds, school environments, teaching, and learning. We merged the student-file data with their schools’ data and their mathematics teacher data.

The 2011 JICA-RI and ERDC dataset was used to analyze both of the two research questions on trade-off between access and quality of learning and the effect of learning input on quality of learning. For the second research question, we also used another data set, covering the nationally represented sample schools. It will allow us to compare underserved areas with the rest of the country.

The second data set is from the TIMSS 2011. We downloaded the five data sets for grade 6 students regarding score data, student background, teacher background, teacher-student link, and school background, and prepared an integrated student-level data set, including their teacher and school characteristics. For Yemen, the TIMSS 2011 was implemented in April 2011 by ERDC in partnership with the TIMSS and PIRLS International Study Center at Boston College. The TIMSS sample schools are randomly selected according to an international

\(^5\) As past experience suggests a discussion between the Yemeni government and Boston College (leading the TIMSS) about the Arabic competency being one reason that the TIMSS test is far too difficult for grade 4 students in Yemen and the TIMSS 2011 was going to be conducted for both grades. Given that our target was rural areas, where it is more difficult to have the adequate number of sample students for a single grade, we decided to test both grade 5 and grade 6. From the seven released booklets of mathematics of TIMSS 2007, we used booklets 1 and 3, taking into consideration the more relevant questions to Yemeni curricula. Depending on the desk arrangement, a student has examination of booklet 1 and the next student has booklet 3; thus they are unable to copy the answers from each other (as a TIMSS guideline).
sampling guideline, which sets a minimum class size and thus excludes small rural schools. The data set provides information on 146 sample schools.

4.2. Modeling and descriptive statistics

This paper adopts a production-function approach, which is to examine the relationship between school outcomes and educational inputs (Hanushek 1995). The production function approach has been used to include pedagogical factors, such as frequency of homework and teacher qualifications, besides the measurable spending on educational inputs.

More specifically, this paper defines learning outcome as a student’s math test score in terms of the percentage of correct responses in the JICA ERDC 2011 survey and Yemen’s average achievement on the TIMSS 2011 mathematics achievement scale (for details of the TIMSS 2011, please see Mullis et al. 2012). We estimate the student’s test score as a function of the school characteristics and of the student’s individual and family characteristics. The TIMSS 2011 data include sampling weights to make statistical analyses representative of the country’s school population, and thus we use these sampling weights in all our estimation models with the TIMSS data.

For the school characteristics, we first included the variables regarding changes in gender parity and access in terms of the number of total enrollment over three years (from 2007/08 to 2010/11) because these changes might have affected the quality of learning environment throughout their school years. The estimation model also controls for differences in changes in gender parity before those three years to see whether it has changed rapidly from a low initial level in 2004/05, in which the country’s basic education strategy started with 2015 as the target year. These variables on the enrollment change are available only for the TIMSS data set.

Second, based on the findings of existing literature and Yemeni context, we included, as independent variables, the following three types of measurable school and teacher
characteristics: (a) teachers’ availability and characteristics (teachers as a whole and math teachers), (b) community and parental contribution and participation in school operations, (c) other factors such as learning materials and local supervision. For the student’s individual and family characteristics, the model controls characteristics such as gender, parental occupations and education. Tables 4 and 5 summarize the definitions of these variables prepared by using the JICA-ERDC 2011 data and the TIMSS 2011, respectively. For the TIMSS data set, we have a limited set of independent variables because it uses internationally standard questionnaires. Thus the following explanations are mostly for the JICA-ERDC 2011 data.

Descriptive stat: Regarding changes in gender equity and access, both changes appear to have a positive relation; that is, the higher the increase of GPI, the higher the total enrollment growth rate. On the relation with the student test score, the total enrollment growth is more likely to have a negative effect on test scores than the increase of GPI (Figs. 3a, 3b).

Regarding the current school characteristics, variance in the student-to-teacher ratio among schools appears to be related to student test scores in the JICA-ERDC 2011 (Fig. 3c). We prepared a dummy variable of whether the student-to-teacher ratio (STR) is above 35. As discussed above, the Yemeni data indicate a notable increase in STR in primary education over the past decade, from 22 in 2000 to 31 in 2010. This increase of STRs is good news for the efficiency of public resource allocation because the STR is moving toward the indicative target of 35, set in the early 2000s for the year 2015 by the Fast Track Initiative plan toward universal primary education (government of Yemen and World Bank 2004). However, a higher STR may have made it difficult for effective teaching, especially when less-qualified teachers needed to teach a larger number of students whose learning readiness also varies because of the increasing participation of disadvantaged children. The TIMSS 2011 does not provide an STR, only the class size. As Figs 4a and 4b show, the class size shows no clear relation with student scores for the country as a whole, but it seems to show slightly negative relations in remote
rural schools, which are supposedly in similar conditions as the JICA-ERDC 2011 sample schools.

For the characteristics of teachers, years of teaching experience and educational backgrounds are considered. For the new hiring of civil servant teachers, the government currently requires a university degree, with some exceptions, applied to female teachers in remote rural areas. Yet because some teachers had been hired before the new hiring rule was introduced, the proportion of students whose math teachers hold university degrees is about 25% in the JICA-ERDC 2011. On teacher training, the government has conducted a series of refreshers or upgrading in-service training, though professional development training is not a legal requirement (e.g., World Bank 2007). As a result, math teachers which are 34% of the students have participated in training in the past five years. On teaching practices, although it is difficult to construct accurate relative values from self-reporting responses, we attempted to prepare some variables. One is the frequency of teaching equations for word problems because most of the math test items are word problems. Another example is how often the student’s math teacher gives him or her math homework. The frequency of inspector visits to student classes also appears to differ. Although about 60% of students responded that the inspector visited more than once in the past year, 16% said that inspectors had never visited their classes.

The TIMSS 2011 data also confirm a similar level of teacher quality and the anticipated difference between the national average and remote rural schools. For example, 35% of the students’ math teachers have a university degree in all sample schools nationwide, but only 27% have it in remote rural areas. The in-service training experience in mathematics pedagogy is also lower in remote schools.

On the community and parental participation in school operations, the 2011 JICA-ERDC data provide country-specific variables. For example, parental associations have been established separately for fathers’ councils and mothers’ councils. While all sample schools reported having fathers’ councils, one difference is the timing of establishment: 32% of
the schools had established them more than five years before the sample students entered school, but the rest of the schools had established them relatively recently. The government promotes mothers’ councils, but they are relatively new and thus will not be included in our analysis. The government also aims to strengthen school-based management by establishing participatory school committees and involving them in all aspects of planning, implementation, and monitoring (Ministry of Education 2013, 39). More than 60% of students are at schools with school committees that include parents’ representatives, and nearly 50% of schools have had a workshop on quality of education attended by parents.

On monetary contribution from parents, JICA ERDC 2011 shows that all the sample schools collect fees for some or all of the following purposes: registration of girls and boys, textbooks, and school maintenance. Because of shortages of resources provided to schools for daily operations, parental contributions have played important roles. One study reports that fee abolition policies have made it difficult for school directors to maintain the quality of learning (Al-Mansoob 2007). Thus a variable on textbook availability is very much correlated with the fee variables, and consequently it is not included in the model presented in this paper. Although the TIMSS 2011 provides no information specific to school committees, fathers’ or mothers’ councils, it asked questions on information sharing with parents and their commitment to students’ homework.

For family characteristics, the 2011 JICA-ERDC data provide a variable that shows the difference among students in terms of the availability of household goods that supposedly indicate the household welfare level. The education and occupation of fathers and mothers are also included. As expected, the literacy rate is much lower for mothers. Only 24% of mothers are literate or have ever been enrolled in primary education or above, though 73% of fathers are literate. The TIMSS 2011 has less information on individual students’ family
characteristics. The variable that can make a difference is whether students have their own books (excluding school books) or not, and less than half of the students answered in the affirmative.

Our model has some limitations. First, although we attempted to reduce omitted variable bias by including different variables of education inputs and family backgrounds, especially for the estimations using the JICA ERDC 2011, the model does not control for the student’s individual prior ability before studying in the current class or school. As a rough proxy, the JICA ERDC 2011 data provide information on the student’s repetition history, whether the student ever repeated. Although the repetition variable was not included in the final estimation models because of potential endogenous issues, we confirmed that the inclusion of this variable does not affect the key results discussed below, and the repetition variable shows a negative relation to the current score.

On selectivity bias, which is also a typical issue in a production function approach, we insist that the estimations, using the JICA ERDC 2011 or the TIMSS 2011 for remote schools, have only a small bias. Given that the target students are primary-school children in rural areas, where few private or alternative schools are available within walking distance, families are, in practice, not very likely to choose a specific school for their children to enter. There could be some exceptions, but the bias is expected to be small.

---

6 On students’ mobility aspects, the TIMSS 2011 does not ask about the residency of the students at their earlier ages. The JICA ERDC 2011 asks students in grades 5 and 6 whether he or she was born in their current village. Less than 10% answered that they had not been. Thus we did not include this internal migration issue in our analyses.

7 The TIMSS 2011 asks about household possession of some goods, but most of which, such as computers and Internet connections, are not relevant to Yemen, where very few households have them.
5. Estimation results

5.1. Results for underserved rural areas: JICA ERDC 2011 data

Enrollment Increase

Table 6 indicates the possibility of a trade-off between access and learning outcome for students in the initially underserved areas. The estimations of the student math score show a statistically significant and negative coefficient of the variable regarding the GPI increase and the total enrollment growth from 2007/08 to 2010/11. First we included in the models each of the two variables separately (columns of 1a, 1b) because they could be potentially correlated. They were then put together (column 1c) to assess the sensitivity of the estimation results. Although not presented in the table, the results are the same if only the growth rate of female enrollment is included, instead of the total growth of enrollment (boys and girls).

Characteristics of Students and Families

These estimation results are after controlling for basic characteristics of students and their households. As for student characteristics, being a female student tends to show a positive association with the test score in most specifications of the estimations. This is consistent to previous evidence in Yemen. Among the possible reasons is that a child’s ability and preference for studying may affect more strongly the household’s decision, whether to let her drop out or retain the child up to grade 5 or 6, in regard to girls compared to boys, for whom households generally consider education more important than for girls. Also, being a grade-6 student is positively related with a higher math score, although the difference is not large.

School Variables

The negative direction of the coefficients on the GPI increase or enrollment growth remains the same even after controlling for the current school characteristics (columns 2a to 2c). This implies that the past school characteristics, reflected by the changes in equity and access over the years, are related to students’ learning.
Furthermore, some of the current schools’ quality indicators also significantly explain their performance. In regard to teachers, the number of students per teacher is significantly and negatively related to students’ test scores. As Table 6 shows (the column from 2a to 3), it makes a significant difference in the student’s achievement whether the school has more than 35 students per teacher. Existing literature indicates that the effect of small classes varies among societies and countries; in the underserved areas of Yemen, smaller classes are more effective for students’ learning achievements.

Not only the quantity, but also the quality of teaching is important. The coefficient on the variables regarding the years of teaching experience and the frequency of using word problems for mathematics are significantly positive. The training experience of teachers tends to be positive. Teaching qualifications, whether teachers hold a university degree, shows no robust results because it varies among the models. This may be a result of experiences having a greater effect than the university degree in the rural context. The frequency of inspector visits to classes is positively associated with the test score. This effect is the same even if the model controls for the distance-to-district offices. Thus the effect of the inspector’s visit may be interpreted as an opportunity for guiding and motivating teachers, which results in better students’ achievements.

**Parental and Community Participation**

Regarding parental and community participation in learning, some characteristics appear to be positively related to students’ test scores. A workshop attended by parents in the previous five years is positively associated with higher scores. The length of the existence of fathers’ councils also shows a positive relationship, indicating the necessity of long-term commitment by the parents covering the years in which their children reach grades 5 or 6. The monetary contribution is also important. As discussed above, although the official tuition fee was eliminated, other costs of schooling are in practice often paid by families and communities. The greater the number of items of education fees (e.g., registration and school uniform) paid
to a school by parents, the higher the average student’s score. This finding may indicate that resources at school level, including operational fees, are a crucial component to raise students’ learning achievements. Furthermore, this result may suggest the importance of mobilizing community resources.

5.2. Results nationwide and for remote rural areas: TIMSS 2011 data

Table 7 presents the OLS estimation results of the 2011 TIMSS Yemeni scores. The first column shows the estimation results for nationwide sample schools by controlling for school location. As the school location variables indicate in the table’s bottom rows, in remote rural areas as opposed to any other location – urban, suburban, medium-size city, or small town – the students’ test scores are significantly low. For these remote rural schools, which often still have a relatively large number of out-of-school children and are at risk of trade-offs between access and quality of learning, the estimation results are presented separately in the second column. Along with with the results of the other areas, all policy variables on learning environment are significantly related to the students’ scores.

Regarding teachers, the class size is significantly and negatively related to students’ test scores. Teacher characteristics also matter. They are positively related to students’ achievements in terms of years of teaching experience and participation in training in the past two years. Teachers’ qualifications, whether the teacher has a university degree, also has a significant association with the student’s test scores in remote rural schools, where only 27% of students learn from teachers with a university degree.

Regarding parental involvement, the frequency of informing parents about school accomplishment – more than three times a year, two to three times a year, once a year, or never – shows a significant difference on test scores. The more opportunities for information sharing may reinforce the already existing meetings of fathers’ councils, participatory school committees, and similar that have been shown to be effective in the underserved areas of
Yemen in the section above. Having parents involved in student’s homework turn out to be positively associated with the student test scores.

Although the 2011 TIMSS asks no questions about request or existence of parental in-kind or monetary contribution to schools, the availability of textbooks, often supported by parents and communities in Yemen, appears to make a difference in student scores, especially for remote rural schools. The degree to which instruction is affected by a shortage of textbooks – a lot, some, slightly, or not at all – is significantly related to the level of student learning achievements. This shows the importance of communities to improve the daily learning resources. In fact, the TIMSS 2007, as in the previous TIMSS round, asked school directors whether schools requested parents to raise funds for the school. Yuki and Kameyama (2013) analyzed the TIMSS 2007 data for grade 4 students and found that this has a significant and positive association with the math scores of the students. Although the basic education is tuition free, given the shortages of school amenities provided by the government, parental contributions can make a practical difference among schools. More attention needs to be paid to the relatively poor communities where such contributions are less feasible.

6. Conclusion and policy implications

Despite the remarkable progress in access to schools in many developing countries over the past decade, many countries still have not achieved the global goal of UPE. Furthermore, low levels and disparities in learning achievement have been an increasing concern for various stakeholders. In fact, some warn about the potential trade-offs between access and quality objectives during the efforts for improving access and parity under public and private resource constraints. Others wonder if such trade-offs can be avoidable. This paper first examined the potential risk of trade-offs by addressing the question whether the status of learning achievement is low for students in the schools that have rapidly increased gender parity in
access. It mainly used the data from the JICA-ERDC survey conducted in 2011 in rural schools in a most underserved governorate of Yemen, which still has a relatively large number of out-of-school children, especially girls, and still needs to urgently address the access and equity goals toward universal primary education.

The estimation results of students’ math test scores in the 5th and 6th grades show that the school-level increase of gender parity in enrollment and the total enrollment growth (of boys and girls alike) over the past three years is negatively associated to the current students’ test scores. The estimation results of trade-offs are valid while controlling for basic students and family characteristics such as parental education and occupation, which vary even among students in underserved areas. The past changes in access and parity indicators may represent changes in supply- and household-side factors, although we could not fully include the past trends of these indicators; so this remains an area for further analyses. Still, our findings add new school-level evidence of trade-offs between the increase of access and the low quality outcome in underserved disadvantaged regions, though previous literature often compares between countries, not schools. This underscores the need of revisiting policy measures for increasing access in disadvantaged areas. The currently out-of-school children must be enrolled, but should not be disadvantaged by low-quality standards.

We also assessed the relationship between current policy indicators and students’ test scores, using the 2011 JICA ERDC data for underserved areas and the 2011 TIMSS data for the entire nation, including the remote rural areas. As anticipated from previous education production function analyses, which highlight differences in the effects between high-income and low-income countries, policy variables, such as teacher availability and quality, are important for explaining the learning differences in the relatively underserved rural areas of Yemen. Family-side factors are also significant because father education and household property affect the education of children.
A challenge for Yemen, largely common to other countries facing the unfinished agenda of UPE as well as the problem of the level and the equity in outcome quality, can be summarized as the following three main points.

First, we found that a larger ratio of students to teacher or class size is significantly and negatively correlated to the students’ test scores. This adverse effect of class size is the same as the findings in the analysis by Breton (2014) of the TIMSS 2007 data for Colombia and was anticipated, since the class size in Yemen is much larger on average and has more variation than in other participating countries. The indicators of teacher quality, such as years of experience, participation in professional training, and educational qualification, also tend to show a positive relation with the student’s test scores, and the mean values of these indicators are lower in underserved or remote rural areas than in other areas.

The Ministry of Education’s projection of financing needs for the ESP (2013-2015) assumes an increase in the student-to-teacher ratio (STR) in basic education. The STR is generally the most influential indicator in projecting the amount needed for government recurrent budgets. Thus it is understandable that the government attempts to set the STR as neither too high nor too low so that it can demonstrate financial efficiency in the face of all stakeholders, including foreign donors and development partners. However, this paper’s findings that the within-country variations in the STR or class size make a large difference in learning achievements; they underscore the need to assess its effect more carefully and to regularly monitor what the average norm of STR means in the context of Yemeni basic education. Yemen should avoid having the more-disadvantaged areas also having worse learning conditions, such as a lower number of teachers with lower quality. One also needs to consider that the class size is larger in the lower grades of basic education because of the presence of subject-specific teachers in the upper grades. This means that lower-grade students are taught by fewer teachers, although children in relatively underserved areas, where fewer

---

8 According to the report of the 2007 TIMSS for 4th-grade mathematics, the average class size is 32 for Colombia and 46 for Yemen.
parents are literate and fewer books are available at home, need more teacher attention from the early grades on. The fiscal efficiency should be ensured by enhancing the reduction of the number of ghost teachers, estimated at nearly 30,000 salaried persons (World Bank 2006) and absenteeism, rather than reducing the actual number of teachers allocated to schools in need.

Second, several community-participation-related variables also tend to be positively associated with the students’ test scores. This implies that community-participation policies implemented by the Yemeni government over the past decade, especially those for access and equity in access, such as girls’ education, are also important for the quality outcome objective. As implied from previous literature of SBM, what matters includes the timing and length of participation, the increased understanding of the role of parents, and the increased responsibility of participation through the establishment of school committees that prepare the annual school improvement plan. In Yemeni public basic education, the school committees have no autonomy on curriculum contents, about which Hanushek et al. (2013) mentions adverse effects on learning outcomes in developing countries compared with the developed countries in the secondary level. The Yemeni ESP intends to support school committees, fathers’ councils, and mothers’ councils. Training “manuals” and facilitation activities should promote discussions and actions for both equity access and quality goals at each school.

Also, with the finding of the positive effect of parental fee contributions to test scores or the availability of textbooks, to which communities have often contributed to minimize the shortage of learning resources, this paper supports the validity of the policy of having school funds that can be managed by participatory school councils for the school’s daily operations, especially in underserved areas. This underscores the recommendation made in the Report to the UN special envoy for global education (Al-Seyani and Matsui 2013). With donors’ support, Yemen could scale up the existing mechanisms for school grants to reward communities and schools that aim to improve access for girls and disadvantaged students and to enhance the quality of learning. As of now, only a limited proportion of basic schools are to receive such
school grants, though according to a cabinet decree, the Sector Plan aims to cover all schools by 2015. Such resources should ideally be provided by domestic funds. However the use of external funds can be justified to cope with the recent crisis and to better achieve the international goal of universal primary education without sacrificing the quality of learning. Providing sufficient grants to schools is a key factor for inclusive development and economic growth. In fragile countries, school grants can be used not only as operational or recurrent budgets, but also as investment budgets. Meanwhile, it is important, by comparing experiences between governorates, to refine the mechanisms that would improve the administrative efficiency in fund transaction and targeting (e.g., differentiating the grant amounts by school size and community poverty level), as well as the monitoring of schools and advising them and communities on school improvement plans. Such mechanisms should also develop the institutional capacity of communities to participate in school governance and increase their access to school information, roles, and voices for improving students’ learning opportunities and outcomes.

Lastly, to ensure school- and community-level accountability for better results, it will be important that schools and communities receive guidance and supervision. The frequency of inspector visits to classes is significantly positive on the students’ score in the estimation of the 2011 JICA ERDC survey, although no such variable is available for the TIMSS. The Yemeni government has conducted a series of school surveys and plans to introduce new national learning assessments for grades 6 and 9 (Ministry of Education 2013; World Bank 2013). The questionnaires should be designed to capture the status and variations in the key policy indicators across schools and classes. It will also be important to enhance the institutional and individual capacities of and incentives for the stakeholders – government officials, schools, donors, and other development partners -- to utilize the existing data for monitoring and planning.
Development partners often support their project-specific monitoring databases and/or base/end line survey data, focusing on their pilot schools and control schools. If such databases were designed in advance to make the data comparable and merged into the Ministry of Education’s education management information system (EMIS) (e.g., using the same school and district IDs), it would help long-term and comprehensive monitoring. It would also facilitate the short-term utilization of Ministry of Education data and the improvement of data quality.

Furthermore, this move could also help reduce the cost of monitoring for development partners because an improved EMIS could be used for project-specific performance comparison with a non-treatment group. Besides specific projects, donors can also support theme-specific surveys, such as TIMSS, which could help the capacity of not only of education researchers, but also of administrators in their monitoring and guidance of schools and teachers if the results and instruments (e.g., released TIMSS math-test items in Arabic) are disseminated widely. When the Yemeni government introduces new national assessment surveys in basic education, it is important to consider not only the survey contents, but also the way how it can be used for the benefit of the school children and national development.
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Table 1
Net enrollment ratios (NER) in primary education and the share of the sampled 2nd grade students who are unable to read any word in the first line of a narrative, selected countries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>NER in primary (%)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Not reading (%)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>ca. 2000 41.9</td>
<td>ca. 2010 62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>ca. 2000 70.3</td>
<td>ca. 2010 91.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yemen</td>
<td>ca. 2000 56.3</td>
<td>ca. 2010 77.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>ca. 2000 40.3</td>
<td>ca. 2010 81.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources:  
<sup>a</sup> World Bank online data, accessed June 2013.  
<sup>b</sup> Global Partnership for Education (2012: 140), Early grade reading assessment (EGRA).

Note: Sampled students were assessed at the end of grade 2.

Table 2
Public education expenditure and primary enrollment rates, selected countries, circa 2010.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Yemen</th>
<th>Senegal</th>
<th>Ghana</th>
<th>Vietnam</th>
<th>Lao</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public expenditure on education as % of GDP</td>
<td>5.2 a</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.3 a</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public expenditure per primary school pupil as a % of GDP per capita.</td>
<td>18.2 b</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>19.4 a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net enrollment rate. Primary. Total</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>84.0 b</td>
<td>98.0</td>
<td>96.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net enrollment rate. Primary. Female</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>77.7</td>
<td>84.4</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>95.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender parity index for net enrollment rate. Primary</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP per capita (current US$)</td>
<td>1,291</td>
<td>1,034</td>
<td>1,319</td>
<td>1,224</td>
<td>1,158</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> for 2008 and <sup>b</sup> for 2011.

Table 3
Overview of data sets used in this paper.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Names</th>
<th>Target of analyses and sample selection</th>
<th>Measure of learning outcome (test)</th>
<th>Questionnaires for other variables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| JICA-ERDC<sup>a</sup> 2011  | Grades 5 & 6<sup>b</sup> students, Random from underserved rural schools of one Governorate (Dhamar) | TIMSS 2007 Math released items for Grade 4<sup>c</sup> | -Student general  
- Math teacher  
- School director (with more questions than TIMSS 2007 questionnaires) |
| TIMSS 2011<sup>b</sup> (April, by ERDC) | Grade 6<sup>d</sup> students, Random from nationwide | TIMSS 2011 Math items for Grade 4<sup>b</sup> | -Student general  
- Math teacher  
- School director |

<sup>a</sup> ERDC: Education Research and Development Center, Yemen.  
<sup>b</sup> Given the low score of Yemeni grade 4 students in TIMSS 2007; both surveys assessed upper-grade students using the grade-4 test.
### Table 4
Description of variables: 2011 JICA ERDC survey.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GPI change in enrollment, 2004-2007</td>
<td>GPI change in enrollment between 2004 and 2007 at school level was higher than the governorate average (1: high, 0: other)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPI change in enrollment, 2007-2010</td>
<td>GPI change in enrollment between 2007 and 2010 at school level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enrollment growth rate from 2007-2010 (grades 1-6)</td>
<td>Grades 1-6 students' enrollment growth rate between 2007 and 2010 at school level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student’s grade</td>
<td>Is the student in 6th grade? (1: grade 6, 0: grade 5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student’s gender dummy</td>
<td>Is the student a boy or a girl? (1: female, 0: male)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability of 5 goods in student's home</td>
<td>Does the student have any of these things at his/her home? Availability of 5 goods in student's home (presence of calculator, computer, study desk, dictionary, electricity)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Father's literacy status</td>
<td>What is the education of the student's father or male guardian? (1: literate or equal to or more than Basic education; 0: illiterate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother's literacy status</td>
<td>What is the education of the student's mother or female guardian? (1: literate or equal to or more than Basic education; 0: illiterate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Father's work at agriculture</td>
<td>Work of the student's father or male guardian (1: agriculture, 0: different activities [public nonagriculture, private nonagriculture, and others])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Father's work at public or private non-agriculture</td>
<td>Work of the student's father or male guardian (1: public or private nonagriculture, 0: different activities [agriculture and others])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother's work at agriculture</td>
<td>Work of the student's mother or female guardian (1: agriculture, 0: different activities [public nonagriculture, private nonagriculture, and others])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother's work at public or private non-agriculture</td>
<td>Work of the student's mother or female guardian (1: public or private nonagriculture, 0: different activities [public nonagriculture, private nonagriculture, and others])</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Teachers and teaching:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Students per teacher are above 35</td>
<td>Student-teacher ratio in 2010/11 (1=equal to or more than 35 pupils of grades 1-9 per teacher with permanent contract: 0 = less than 35 pupils)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of years in teaching</td>
<td>Teaching experience years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher’s education</td>
<td>Teachers with university degree (1: university, 0: no)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training experience</td>
<td>Training during the last 5 years? (1: yes, 0: no)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of using word problems</td>
<td>In teaching mathematics to grades 5 and 6, how often does the teacher teach equations using word problems? (1: never, 2: some lessons, 3: half of the lessons, 4: every lesson)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of student’s arithmetic homework</td>
<td>How many times does the student's teacher give him/her mathematics homework? (1: never, 2: some days, 3: one time per-day, 4: after each lesson)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hours for lesson preparation by teacher</td>
<td>Hours of lesson preparation outside school per week (1: one hour or less, 2: one to two hours, 3: more than 3 hours)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Parents and communities:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Presence/absence of a workshop on the quality of education attended by parents</td>
<td>Was there any training or workshop for parents in this school during the past 5 years on participation in improving school quality? (1: yes, 0: otherwise)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time of establishing fathers’ council</td>
<td>Fathers’ council has been established equal to or more than 5 years ago (1: five or more years ago, 0: otherwise)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School committee including parent representative</td>
<td>Does your school have a school committee whose members include parents’ representatives and are responsible to prepare and implement a school improvement plan? (1: yes, 0: no)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education fees paid by parents (number of items)</td>
<td>Do households of students in grade 6 need to pay for the following items? (girls' registration fees, boys' registration fees, examination fees, textbook delivery, school maintenance)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of inspector’s visits</td>
<td>How often did an inspector visit the student’s class this last year? (1: never, 2: once, 3: more than once)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student absences in the past 30 days</td>
<td>How many days were you absent in the past 30 days? (0: never, 1: less than 3 days, 2: equal to one week or more)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2011 JICA/ERDC survey in Yemen.
Table 5
Description of variables: 2011 TIMSS survey.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Teachers and teaching:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of students in class</td>
<td>How many students are in this class?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of years in teaching</td>
<td>By the end of this school year, how many years altogether will you have been teaching?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher's training participation in the past 2 years (1: yes, 0: no)</td>
<td>In the past two year, have you participated in professional development in math pedagogy/instruction? (1: yes, 0: no)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher's education level (1: university degree, 0: no)</td>
<td>Teacher's education level (1: university degree, 0: no)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Others</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of information provision to parents (4: more than 3 times a year)</td>
<td>How often does your school inform parents about school accomplishments (e.g., tournaments)? (4: more than 3 times a year, 3: 2-3 times a year, 2: once a year, 1: never)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of parental involvement in student's homework (4: everyday)</td>
<td>How often do your parents make sure that you set aside time for your homework? (4: everyday, 3: once or twice a week, 2: once or twice a month, 1: never)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruction affected by shortage of textbooks</td>
<td>How much is your school affected by a shortage of instructional materials? (4: not at all, 3: a little, 2: some, 1: a lot)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student's gender (1: female, 0: male)</td>
<td>Student's gender (1: female, 0: male)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student's own book at home (1: yes, 0: no)</td>
<td>Do you have any books of your very own (not count school books)? (1: yes, 0: no)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School location (1=suburban)</td>
<td>School is located in suburban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School location (1=medium size city)</td>
<td>School is located in medium size city</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School location (1=small town)</td>
<td>School is located in small town</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School location (1=remote rural area)</td>
<td>School is located in remote rural area</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: TIMSS 2011.
Table 6
Estimation results, 2011 JICA ERDC survey.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>(1)-a</th>
<th>(1)-b</th>
<th>(1)-c</th>
<th>(2)-a</th>
<th>(2)-b</th>
<th>(2)-c</th>
<th>(2)-d</th>
<th>(3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GPI change in enrollment, 2004-2007</td>
<td>-39.72 (5.71)  ***</td>
<td>-36.20 (5.76)  ***</td>
<td>-41.54 (5.78)  ***</td>
<td>-31.82 (5.81)  ***</td>
<td>-27.09 (5.61)  ***</td>
<td>-32.79 (5.87)  ***</td>
<td>-25.49 (5.52)  ***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPI change in enrollment, 2007-2010</td>
<td>-54.26 (17.21) ***</td>
<td>-48.08 (16.18) ***</td>
<td>-66.24 (19.41) ***</td>
<td>-62.05 (19.1) ***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enrollment growth rate from 2007-2010 (grade 1-)</td>
<td></td>
<td>-94.09 (13.42) ***</td>
<td>-91.37 (13.05) ***</td>
<td>-43.34 (14.77) ***</td>
<td>-37.17 (14.48) ***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student’s grade (1:grade 6, 0: grade 5)</td>
<td>16.81 (5.46) ***</td>
<td>16.69 (5.39) ***</td>
<td>16.37 (5.38) ***</td>
<td>10.83 (4.96) **</td>
<td>10.33 (4.97) **</td>
<td>10.99 (4.93) **</td>
<td>10.09 (5) **</td>
<td>9.71 (5.04) *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student’s gender dummy (1: female, 0: male)</td>
<td>8.92 (5.32) *</td>
<td>9.94 (5.26) *</td>
<td>10.10 (5.25) *</td>
<td>10.32 (4.7) **</td>
<td>11.12 (4.69) **</td>
<td>10.46 (4.69) **</td>
<td>11.01 (4.7) **</td>
<td>11.92 (4.75) **</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Teachers and teaching:**

Students per teacher are above 35 (1: yes, 0: no)


Number of years in teaching

|                | 4.35 (0.59) ***  | 4.60 (0.58) ***  | 4.48 (0.57) ***  | 4.46 (0.59) ***  | 5.05 (0.59) ***  |              |                |              |

Teacher’s education (1: university, 0: no)

|                | 7.82 (5.65)      | 15.57 (6.22) ** | 13.97 (6.17) ** | 8.44 (5.67)      | 7.05 (5.78)      |              |                |              |

Training experience (1: yes, 0: no)

|                | 8.41 (5.48)      | 10.52 (5.42) *  | 8.60 (5.51)      | 10.44 (5.39) *  | 10.34 (5.46) *  |              |                |              |

Frequency of using word problems

|                | 7.83 (2.67) ***  | 12.43 (2.7) *** | 9.26 (2.71) *** | 11.00 (2.65) *** | 9.80 (2.64) *** |              |                |              |

Frequency of student’s arithmetic homework

|                | 5.62 (3.07) *    | 5.69 (3.07) *    | 5.60 (3.08) *    | 5.72 (3.05) *    | 6.85 (3.07) *    |              |                |              |

Hours for lesson preparation by teacher

|                | 28.81 (3.73) *** | 24.82 (3.57) *** | 28.73 (3.77) *** | 24.60 (3.55) *** | 26.36 (3.62) *** |              |                |              |

**Parents and communities:**

Presence/absence of a workshop on the quality of education attended by parents

|                | 21.09 (5.04) *** | 16.57 (4.84) *** | 22.42 (5.07) *** | 14.54 (4.85) *** | 13.91 (4.89) *** |              |                |              |

Time of establishing fathers’ council

|                | 47.30 (5.61) *** | 45.94 (5.63) *** | 45.01 (5.61) *** | 48.71 (5.65) *** | 49.35 (5.71) *** |              |                |              |

School committee including parent representative

|                | 21.33 (5.7) ***  | 9.92 (6.14)      | 17.05 (6.09) *** | 14.40 (5.71) **  | 18.01 (5.75) *** |              |                |              |

Education fees paid by parents (number of items)

|                | 24.42 (3.02) *** | 25.89 (2.99) *** | 23.63 (3.12) *** | 27.00 (2.89) *** | 24.88 (2.87) *** |              |                |              |

**Others:**

Frequency of inspector’s visit (3: more than once)

|                | 20.87 (3.79) *** | 20.88 (3.86) *** | 20.09 (3.84) *** | 21.86 (3.81) *** | 23.16 (3.77) *** |              |                |              |

Student absences in the past 30 days (2: equal to one week or more)

<p>|                | -9.59 (3.41) *** | -9.52 (3.38) *** | -9.73 (3.39) *** | -9.35 (3.4) ***  | -8.28 (3.44) *** |              |                |              |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family characteristics</th>
<th>obs</th>
<th>1428</th>
<th>1428</th>
<th>1428</th>
<th>1159</th>
<th>1159</th>
<th>1159</th>
<th>1159</th>
<th>1159</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adj R sq</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.299</td>
<td>0.294</td>
<td>0.303</td>
<td>0.288</td>
<td>0.274</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2011 JICA/ERDC survey in Yemen.

Note: Robust standard errors in parentheses with a mean of zero and a standard deviation of 100.

* With a continuous variable of students-per-teacher ratio (STR), STR had significant and negative effects in all models.

b Control the variable group including housing environment (e.g., presence/absence of electricity and desks, parent's education and profession). Details not shown because of space constraints.

*p<0.1.

**p<0.05.

***p<0.001.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Remote Rural</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Teachers and teaching:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of students in class</td>
<td>-0.58 (0.08) ***</td>
<td>-0.81 (0.27) ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of years in teaching</td>
<td>1.85 (0.29) ***</td>
<td>4.49 (0.67) ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher's training participation in the past 2 years (1: yes, 0: no)</td>
<td>8.40 (3.85) **</td>
<td>16.46 (9.97) *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher's education level (1: university degree, 0: no)</td>
<td>2.68 (4.23)</td>
<td>31.09 (9.01) ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Others</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of information provision to parents (4: more than 3 times a year)</td>
<td>10.11 (2.03) ***</td>
<td>14.69 (4.02) ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of parental involvement in student's homework (4: everyday)</td>
<td>8.59 (1.77) ***</td>
<td>8.52 (3.94) **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruction affected by shortage of textbooks (4: not at all)</td>
<td>8.53 (2.24) ***</td>
<td>18.71 (4.82) ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student's gender (1: female, 0: male)</td>
<td>20.51 (3.9) ***</td>
<td>14.44 (8.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student's own books at home (1: yes, 0: no)</td>
<td>23.29 (3.79) ***</td>
<td>36.12 (8.13) ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School location (1=suburban)</td>
<td>-21.00 (5.78) ***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School location (1=medium size city)</td>
<td>-33.64 (5.77) ***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School location (1=small town)</td>
<td>-29.72 (5.29) ***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School location (1=remote rural area)</td>
<td>-64.96 (5.49) ***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>obs</td>
<td>3433</td>
<td>788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adj R sq</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: TIMSS 2011.

Note: Robust standard errors in parentheses with a mean of zero and a standard deviation of 100.

*a Default: urban.

*p<0.1.

**p<0.05.

***p<0.001.
Fig. 1a. Mathematics achievement in middle-income countries, 2011 TIMSS. Source: TIMSS 2011; GDP per capita (current US$) from World Bank online data, accessed December 2012. Three countries participated at sixth grade, those are: Botswana, Honduras and Yemen.

b Countries classified by 2011 GDP per capita (current US$).

Note: Scores were calculated from the results of the Latin American Laboratory Assessments of the Quality of Education (LLECE), Programme for Analyzing Education Systems of Confemeric's Countries Members (PASEC), the Progress in International Reading Literacy Study (PIRLS), the Southern and Eastern Africa Consortium for Monitoring Educational Quality (SACMEQ), and the Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS) to the U.S. National Assessment of Educational Progress.

Fig. 1b. Score in primary education and GDP per capita in low- and lower middle-income countries.

Source: Global Partnership for Education, 2012 (original from Atinok, 2010); World Bank online data for GDP, accessed December 2012.
Fig. 2. Female-to-male student ratio by governorate, grades 1-6, Yemen. Source: Prepared by author using Yemen Annual Education Survey 2009/2010.
**Fig. 3a.** Increase of GPI and student scores, 2011 JICA-ERDC survey.
Note: Y: Score, X: Change in GPI (g 1- 6), 2007 to 2010.
Source: 2011 JICA/ERDC survey in Yemen.

**Fig. 3b.** Total enrollment growth rate and student scores, 2011 JICA-ERDC survey.
Note: Y: Score, X: Change in enrollments, 2007 to 2010.
Source: 2011 JICA/ERDC survey in Yemen.
Fig. 3c. Students-to-teacher ratio and the student scores, 2011 JICA-ERDC survey.
Note: Y: Score, X: Students-to-teacher ratio.
Source: 2011 JICA/ERDC survey in Yemen.
Fig. 4a. Class size and student score, 2011 TIMSS, nationwide.
Note: Y: Score, X: Class size.
Source: 2011 JICA/ERDC survey in Yemen.

Fig. 4b. Class size and student scores, 2011 TIMSS, remote rural areas.
Note: Y: Score, X: Class size.
Source: 2011 JICA/ERDC survey in Yemen.
要約

本論文では、初等教育へのアクセスと教育の質のトレードオフという潜在的問題を取り上げている。過去10年間に著しい進展を見せたものの、依然として教育へのアクセス、衡平性、質の課題を抱えるイエメンを事例国とし、とりわけ教育機会が不足している村落部に焦点を当てた実証研究である。分析には、JICA研究所がイエメン教育省の研究所と2011年に同国で収集した生徒及び学校レベルのデータ、また2011年の国際数学・理科教育調査（TIMSS）からのデータを用いた。まず、学校におけるアクセスの変化を就学生数の増加及びジェンダー格差指數の改善で計測し、学習成果との関係について分析した。アクセスの拡張と学習の質について、トレードオフの関係があると示唆される結果となった。すなわち、生徒及び保護者の教育レベルや職業など家計の特性を制御した上で、過去3年間ジェンダー格差指數がより改善した学校、就学生数がより増加した学校では、他の学校と比較し、現在の生徒の算数テストの結果が低くなる傾向が示された。次に、教育サービスの質を示唆する他の変数と学習成果との関係について分析した。教員配置やコミュニティ参加に係る学校の特性等が、学習成果と有意な関係にあることが分かった。本研究結果は、教育の機会が不足している地域に対しても教育の質のスタンダードの確保しながら、質における国内差の拡大を避け、更にすべての子供の学習のためにアクセスを拡張していく施策を再考する必要性を示唆している。